MINUTES CENTRAL VALLEY WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY Strategy Session Wednesday February 28, 2024 Central Valley Board Room 1:00 p.m.

Board Members Present:

Chair Debra Armstrong Vice Chair Don Russell Alternate Member Ben Ford Member Keith Lord Member Kim Galbraith Alternate Member Greg Anderson

Board Members Absent:

LeAnne Huff

Staff Members Present:

Phillip Heck Brandon Heidelberger Graham Gilbert Justin Zollinger Jake Crookston Bryan Mansell Sharon Burton Cindie Foote Granger-Hunter Improvement District Taylorsville-Bennion Improvement District Murray City Mt Olympus Improvement District Cottonwood Improvement District Kearns Improvement District

City of South Salt Lake

General Manager Assistant General Manager Legal Counsel CFO IT Supervisor Chief Engineer Plant Superintendent Employee Services Manager/Board Secretary

Member Entity Representatives Present:

Mark Chalk Bruce Hicken Brandon Richards Greg Neff Spencer Evans Giles Demke Trevor Eppich Jason Helm Dennis Pay Taylorsville-Bennion Improvement District Taylorsville-Bennion Improvement District Murray City Cottonwood Improvement District Cottonwood Improvement District Mt Olympus Improvement District Mt Olympus Improvement District Granger Hunter Improvement District City of South Salt Lake

Debra Armstrong welcomed all to the strategy session.

Dr. Phil Heck gave a PowerPoint presentation which is attached to these minutes.

Dr. Heck asked if the Board had any specific 2024 objectives or goals for him and the management team. As we go through the strategy session, should there be any goals, we will discuss them during the wrap up portion. No comments were received.

CVWRF Strategy Session Minutes February 28, 2024 Page 2

REVIEW OF CURRENT AND POTENTIAL FUTURE REGULATIONS IMPACTING CVWRF

Dr. Heck reviewed the regulatory landscape over the next 0-5 years which includes the TBPEL Rule with a P limit, a further reduction in the effluent ammonia limit, and air quality restrictions which may reduce NOx, VOC, and CO in engine exhaust and other air emissions.

The regulatory landscape 5-20 years in the future includes the potential regulations of a TIN limit, potential further reduction in the P limit, and limitations on PFAS in the effluent and biosolids.

A lengthy discussion regarding PFAS ensued. This included recent EPA PFAS activities; how PFAS may be regulated in the wastewater as well as in the biosolids. Graphs containing sampling data of PFAS in both CVWRF's influent and effluent, along with a graphic containing sampling of CVWRF's biosolids PFAS in comparison to the state of Michigan's levels. Also discussed were some of the challenges as they relate to how potential regulation could affect land application of biosolids.

Some of the different types of research on treatment technologies were discussed as well as what the potential capital and O&M costs may be as PFAS becomes more regulated. We are working through WEF, NACWA and other industry groups who are lobbying to exempt POTWs from regulation while advocating for source control/elimination regulation.

BIOSOLIDS MANAGEMENT (DISPOSAL AND PROCESSING) *Disposal*

Mr. Brandon Heidelberger showed a map depicting the current land application sites. Our primary site is the Marriott Disposal site, and the alternate site is the Fairfield property.

In January 2024, Weber County passed a resolution outlining the map for a new West Weber Inland Port Project Area. The Marriott disposal site falls within the boundary of the project area, making the area uncertain for future disposal.

Mr. Heidelberger outlined a future timeline for biosolids disposal which includes moving to Class A biolsolids, continuing composing and sales, developing land application infrastructure at the alternative Fairfield site, and conducting a planning study to evaluate future options for an additional land application site or alternative disposal method site.

CVWRF Strategy Session Minutes February 28, 2024 Page 3

A land application timeline along with action items was discussed for the Fairfield site. This included immediate actions such as updating the Biosolids Masterplan to include the site, permitting the site with DWQ, the purchase and or lease of a tractor, loader and manure spreader, and to begin application to the site in Q3. Necessary improvements to the property were also proposed. This would happen over the next ten years.

Processing

Dr. Heck outlined the goals of the Biosolids Master Plan. They are to ensure capacity of the digestion system to handle the solids produced by treatment plant, produce Class A Biosolids to minimize disposal regulatory issues and maximize disposal options; to maximize cake solids and minimize biosolids mass thereby reducing disposal costs; maximize the use of digester capacity and other facilities to increase biogas production to offset energy costs; develop redundancy in the disposal program, and use/develop the Fairfield property for resiliency.

WRAP UP

No further questions or objectives were received during this period.

ADJOURNMENT

The strategy session adjourned at 2:55 p.m.

Cindie Foote Board Secretary